


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



To: ☐ U.S. District Judge / ☒ U.S. Magistrate Judge Chapman
 From: W. Taylor, Deputy Clerk Date Received: 3/12/04
 Case No.: CV00-00215-NM(RC) Case Title: Jurner v. Terhune
 Document Entitled: Notice of the Appointment Counsel

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist:

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-3.1 | Document not legible | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-3.8 | Lacking name, address, phone number | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-4.1 | No copy provided for judge | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 19-1 | Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 15-1 | Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-6 | Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-8 | Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 83-1.5 | No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies | Priority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 6.1 | Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect | Send <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 56-1 | Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking | Enter <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 56-2 | Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking | Closed <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 7-19.1 | Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking | JS-5/JS-6 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 16-6 | Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel | JS-2/JS-3 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FRCvP Rule 5(d) | No proof of service attached to document(s) | Scan Only <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | | |

Note: Please refer to the court's Internet website at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for local rules and applicable forms.

ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☒ The document is to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped "received but not filed" with the Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83-7.

3/16/04
Date

[Signature]
U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

- ☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead **REJECTED**, and is ORDERED returned to *counsel. *Counsel shall immediately notify, in writing, all parties previously served with the attached documents that said documents have not been filed with the Court.


Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

*The term "counsel" as used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.

CV-104A (03/03)

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



*U.S. GPO: 2003-578-839/70059

MAR 17 2004

74